This article was downloaded by: On: 17 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Khadro, Basma , Namour, Philippe , Bessueille, François , Leonard, Didier and Jaffrezic-Renault, Nicole(2009) 'A novel conductometric sensor based on a PVC membrane containing nonactin for ammonium determination', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 89: 1, 11 — 19

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067310802339785 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067310802339785>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

A novel conductometric sensor based on a PVC membrane containing nonactin for ammonium determination

Basma Khadro^{a*}, Philippe Namour^b, François Bessueille^a, Didier Leonard^a and Nicole Jaffrezic-Renault^a

^aUniversité de Lyon. LSA (Laboratoire des Sciences Analytiques) UMR CNRS 5180, Université Claude Bernard – Lyon, Villeurbanne, France; b Cemagref of Lyon, Lyon, France

(Received 14 May 2008; final version received 11 July 2008)

This work presents a new sensor for ammonium determination based on interdigitated conductometric thin-film planar electrodes. It was fabricated by including nonactin in a plasticised poly(vinyl chloride) membrane deposited on the sensitive area of the transducer. The effects of pH, buffer concentration, the interferences of Na⁺, K⁺ and Ca²⁺ ions, the effect of ionic strength and of temperature were investigated. The linear working range and sensitivity of the biosensor were also determined. The sensitivity of the ammonium detection was around 6.2 μ S μ M⁻¹ NH₄⁺ and the detection limit was 2 × 10⁻⁵ M. The biosensor exhibits the advantages of a simple fabrication procedure, good sensor-to-sensor reproducibility, wide dynamic range and good storage stability (12 weeks).

Keywords: conductometric sensor; ammonium; nonactin; polyvinylchloride; natural water analyses

1. Introduction

Over the last years, the measurement of ammonium (NH_4^+) for different purposes has become increasingly important. In environmental field, eutrophication, the excessive growth of biomass as a consequence of high-nutrient inputs, leads to the perturbation of the ecological balance of a water body [1]. The growth and decay of biomass may cause oxygen depletion or hypoxia, resulting in problems such as increased fish mortality. On the other hand, ammonium is a preferred micronutrient for many plants and phytoplankton and thus makes it a key water quality parameter in studies of eutrophication [2,3]. Even low concentrations of ammonium can adversely affect aquatic life, such as reduction in hatching success and growth rate as well as injury to gill tissue, liver and kidneys [4]. In addition, ammonium can penetrate the cell membrane under alkaline conditions. In excess, it is harmful to aquatic life by accumulating in organism and causing alteration in metabolism and body pH [5]. Coma and even death may occur at extremely high levels [6]. For human health, ingestion of ammonium-contaminated food may result in corrosion of mouth lining, oesophagus and stomach [7,8]. For water disinfection process, measurements of ammonium are important because it competitively reacts with the disinfection agent hypochlorous acid to form less effective disinfectant [9,10].

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: khadro_basma@yahoo.fr

Therefore, ammonium is an essential parameter in the assessment of potable water quality and industrial process. In river water, European directives have defined the maximum allowable concentration to be in the $0.04-0.2$ mg L⁻¹ NH₄⁺ range, depending on the sort of fish living in the river. So, ammonium sensors which could be placed in situ are needed to perform a direct monitoring.

Due to its importance, various methods have been developed for the estimation of ammonium concentration in different samples using different detection techniques such as spectrophotometry, chromatographic techniques [11], fluorescence [12,13] and colorimetry [14].

Some enzymatic or chemical reactions involve either consumption or production of charged species and, therefore, lead to a global change in the ionic composition of the tested sample that can be detected with conductometric biosensors. These sensors present a number of advantages: (a) thin-film electrodes are suitable for miniaturisation and large-scale production using inexpensive technology; (b) they do not require any reference electrode; (c) transducers are not light sensitive; (d) the driving voltage can be sufficiently low to decrease significantly the power consumption and (e) large spectrum of compounds of different nature can be determined on the basis of various reactions and mechanisms [15,16].

In this work, we develop a new conductometric ammonium biosensor by using ammonium selective PVC poly(vinyl chloride) membrane containing nonactin at an interdigitated thin-film electrode surface. Moreover, ammonium electrodes prepared by using PVC have more advantages: high stability, short response time, good reproducibility, high lifetime and easy for sensor construction. We also determined lifetime, response time, optimum working range, and other response characteristics of the sensors.

2. Experimental

2.1 Reagents

Nonactin from Streptomyces griseus were provided by Sigma, diisononyl phtalate (DNP approx. 80%) and hexamethyldisilazane purum \geq 98.0% (GC) were purchased from Fluka and tetrahydrofuran (THF > 99%) was purchased from Sigma. Ca(NO₃)₂. 4H₂O (> 99.0%, Fluka), ammonium, sodium and potassium chloride were obtained from Fluka and were dissolved in ultra pure water (18 M Ω cm). Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and EDTA (>99.0%, Fluka) was purchased from Fluka.

Stock solutions of NH_4^+ were prepared in a 20 mM phosphate buffer solution, 1.0 mM EDTA, pH being adjusted by addition of HCl or NaOH. Solutions containing other ions $(Ca(NO₃)₂$, NaCl and KCl) were obtained by serial dilution with phosphate buffer.

2.2 Sensor design

Conductometric transducers, consisting of two identical pairs of gold interdigitated thin film electrodes, were obtained from Research Institute of Microdevices (Kiev, Ukraine). Two pairs of Au interdigitated electrodes (150 nm thick) were made using the lift-off process on a ceramic substrate $(5 \times 30 \text{ mm})$. Both the digit width and interdigital distance were $20 \mu m$, and their length was about 1.0 mm. As a result, the 'sensitive' area of each electrode was about 1 mm^2 [17].

The lift-off process used is the following one:

The deposition is thermo vacuum sputtering of chromium (5 nm) and gold (150 nm) on ceramic substrates.

- (1) Photoresist is positive with thickness of $3 \mu m$ with resolution of 400 lines per millimetre. After deposition by centrifugation the photoresist is drying under air, after is heated at 60° C, after is heated at 120 $^{\circ}$ C.
- (2) Photomask is positive, photolithography is contact optical positive. After exposition under UV is development in 0.5% KOH and washing-off the photoresist from exposed area.
- (3) Wet etching of gold in nitro/hydrochloric acid, etching of chromium in special solution.
- (4) Washing-off photoresist by dimethylformamide, washing by distilled water and drying.

2.3 Measurements

With each pair of interdigitated electrodes, (sensor) conductometric measurements were performed by applying a small-amplitude alternating voltage 10 mV peak-to-peak of 100 kHz generated by a low-frequency wave-form generator (Schlumberger type 4431). The differential output signal between working and reference sensor was recorded using a Stanford Research Systems lock-in amplifier SR510 and the responses of the sensor were recorded as a function of substrate concentration. At frequencies higher than 10 kHz, the impedance of diffusion can be neglected and the total impedance of the system consisted mainly of the resistance of the electrolyte solution in series with, the double layer capacitance and the polarisation resistance in parallel to each other [17]. The in-phase signal from both sensors were compared only in terms of the resistance contribution and its variation was followed.

Measurements were carried out in daylight at room temperature in a 5 mL glass cell filled with 1.0 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 strongly agitated. After the stabilisation of the signal, the substrate was added to the phosphate buffer.

2.4 Preparation of the electrode

For the preparation of the membrane, a typical procedure was applied using PVC and DNP (7 mg of PVC and 3 mg of DNP) in a ratio of 7:3 (w/w), dissolved in 1 mL THF, with 3% of nonactin as ionophore; NH₄Cl was added to the resulting solution in order to obtain identical concentrations of $NH₄⁺$ and nonactin. For the reference sensor, the membrane composition was PVC and DNP (7 mg of PVC and 3 mg of DNP) in a ratio of 7 : 3 (w/w) diluted in 1 mL THF. Before deposition of the PVC membranes, a surface treatment was performed; the electrode surface was treated with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) vapour for 20 min, in order to functionalise surface sites (hydroxyl groups). Moreover, the hydrophobic methyl groups at the surface insured a good adhesion of the PVC membrane. Then, the plasticised membranes were formed by depositing $0.2 \mu L$ with a micro syringe of each of the previous solutions on the sensitive area of the sensor and the solvent was evaporated under nitrogen flow. The sensor was then dried at room temperature for 30 minutes. The electrodes were stored at 4° C in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 1.0 mM EDTA.

Figure 1. Dependence of sensor response on phosphate buffer concentration. Measurements were conducted with 10^{-3} M of NH₄⁺ in phosphate buffer pH 7.5.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of buffer concentration

To examine the effect of buffer concentration on the response of the conductometric sensor, the slopes of the ammonium sensor were measured at different buffer concentrations varying from 0.25 to 20 mM. The responses for the ammonium sensor for different buffer concentration are presented in Figure 1. The sensitivity of the sensor was very low at buffer concentration higher than 1.0 mM. Buffer concentration lower than 0.25 mM was not investigated due to poor buffering capacity of the solution. Thus, optimum buffer concentration of 1.0 mM was then used for further studies.

3.2 Effect of pH

The influence of pH on the response of the ammonium sensor was studied. The effect of pH on the sensor response was determined in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer at different pH from 5 to 12 (Figure 2). The sensor sensitivity is higher and stable between pH 7 and 8. We then use a buffer solution at pH 7.5 throughout the experiments to obtain the highest sensitivity.

3.3 The sensitivity and selectivity of the ammonium conductometric sensor

After optimisation of the working conditions of the sensor in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 we obtained a calibration curve as presented in Figure 3. The sensor response depends on $NH_{4_{\circ}}^{+}$ concentration between 4×10^{-4} and 35×10^{-4} M with a slope 6.2 μ S μ M⁻¹ and r^2 = 0.99. The detection limit in about 2 × 10⁻⁵ M NH⁺₄, calculated from the lower measurable variation of conductivity.

3.4 The selectivity of ammonium sensor

For the determination of ammonium in water or wastewater samples, it was necessary to take into account interferences by Na⁺, K⁺ and Ca²⁺ ions abundantly present in

Figure 2. Dependence of sensor response on pH. Measurements were conducted with 10^{-3} M of $NH₄⁺$ in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer.

Figure 3. Dependence of sensor response (μS) on NH⁺ concentration. Measurements were conducted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

such samples. The selectivity has been determined by fixing concentration of the interfering ions (0.1 mM) diluted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 with varying concentrations of NH_4^+ , the results are presented in Figure 4. The definition of the selectivity coefficient was extrapolated to our conductometric ammonium sensor. The value of selectivity coefficient is around 1 for the three ions. Nevertheless, it appears in Figure 4 that K^+ ions showed the higher interfering effect compared to that of Na⁺ and $Ca²⁺$. The strong interference of potassium was probably because of the similar size between both ions, it was found that this antibiotic exhibits only a slight preference for

Figure 4. Calibration curves of the ammonium sensors in presence of 0.1 mM of interfering cations Na⁺, K⁺ and Ca²⁺. Measurements were conducted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

Figure 5. Comparison of the calibration curve of sensor response for the standard ammonium solution to the calibration curve for natural water samples, with different concentrations of NH_4^+ . Measurements were conducted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

 $NH₄⁺$ over K⁺. The interference of calcium is probably due to the double positive charge [18–20]. These results explained, the increase of 15% in conductivity in the calibration curve for natural water sample compared to the calibration curve for standard solution of ammonium, because of the presence of ions in the sample water, including K^+ and Ca^{2+} (cf. Figure 5).

Figure 6. Dependence of sensor response on temperature. Measurements were conducted with 10^{-3} M of NH₄⁺ in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

3.5 Effect of temperature

The effect of temperature on the sensitivity of this ammonium sensor was investigated by measurements at different temperatures varying from 5° C to 60° C as presented in Figure 6. The sensor sensitivities remained almost constant between 20° C and 40° C and, therefore, it was thought that ammonium determinations can be carried out at room temperature $(20-25^{\circ}\text{C})$ by using this sensor. It can be seen that slopes decrease when temperature is higher than 45° C, but it can be noticed that the slopes decreased when temperatures were ($\leq 15^{\circ}$ C), which was very important for its use on site (change of water temperature according to the season).

3.6 Reproducibility

The sensor was tested for its reproducibility by plotting the calibration curves for five different concentrations of NH⁺₄ (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10×10^{-4} M) in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 and repeated measurements five times for each concentration within 1 day with the same sensor (cf. Figure 7). The variation coefficient for the sensor $(C.V\%)$ was calculated as 2.5%. The acceptable linear regression was obtained in both cases in the measurement range.

3.7 Storage stability

Long-term storage stability is one of the key factors of a sensor performance. The variation of the sensitivity of the sensor as a function of storage time, the sensor being stored in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) at 4° C, was presented in Figure 8. During a first period an increase of the sensitivity was observed due to the membrane conditioning. Then the sensitivity of the sensor remained stable for more than 12 weeks.

Figure 7. Calibration curve of the sensor response for increasing $NH₄⁺$ concentration in natural water (study of the response conductivity). Measurements were conducted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

Figure 8. Study of the sensor stability. Measurements were conducted in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, $p\overline{H}$ 7.5 for 10^{-3} M NH₄⁺ concentration.

After 12 weeks, a sudden decrease of the sensitivity occurs due to deterioration of the polymeric membrane.

4. Conclusion

In this article a new sensor for ammonium determination based on the interdigitated conductometric thin-film planar electrodes, was fabricated by including nonactin in a plasticised PVC membrane deposited on the sensitive area of the transducer. Ammonium conductometric sensor prepared by using PVC membrane had more advantages: high stability, short time of stabilisation of the signal (5 min) , good reproducibility (2.5%) , high lifetime (12 weeks) and easy for sensor construction. The linear working range and sensitivity of the sensor were also determined. The sensitivity of the ammonium detection was around $6.2 \mu S \mu M^{-1} NH_4^+$ and the detection limit $2 \times 10^{-5} M$, and linear range from 4 to 35×10^{-4} M in 1.0 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, this sensitivity remaining constant between 20° C to 40° C. Furthermore, we investigated whether this sensor can be used for the determination of ammonium in natural water or wastewater samples.

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by CEMAGREF of Lyon.

References

- [1] P. Balslev, A. Lynggaard-Jensen, and C. Nickelsen, Water Sci. Tech. 33, 183 (1996).
- [2] A. Liikanen and P.J. Martikainen, Chemosphere 52, 1287 (2003).
- [3] P. Vaithiyanathan and C.J. Richardson, Sci. Total Environ. 205, 81 (1997).
- [4] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Criteria for Water, EPA Publication 440/5-86-001, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, (1987).
- [5] D.P. Simpson and D.J. Sherrard, J. Clin. Invest. 48, 1088 (1969).
- [6] S.M. Libes, An introduction to Marine Biogeochemistry (Wiley, New York, 1992).
- [7] National Research Council (NRC), Subcommittee on Ammonia (University Park Press, Baltimore, 1979).
- [8] V. Felipo and R.F. Butterworth, Prog. Neurobiol. 67, 259 (2002).
- [9] S. Rusell, Ammonia. WRc Instrument Handbooks (Swindon, UK, 1994).
- [10] O. Köster and F. Jüttner, J. Microbiol. Methods. 37, 65 (1999).
- [11] O.S. Wolfbeis and H. Li, Biosensors Bioelectron. 8, 161 (1993).
- [12] M. Tabata, T. Murachi, J. Endo, and M. Totani, J. Chromatogr. 597, 435 (1992).
- [13] D.T. Rhines and A. Arnold, Anal. Chim. Acta. 227, 387 (1989).
- [14] E. Kandeler and H. Gerber, Biol. Fertil. Soils. 6, 68 (1988).
- [15] R.S. Mikkelsen and G.A. Rechnitz, Anal. Chem. 61, 1737 (1989).
- [16] C. Chouteau, S.V. Dzyadevych, C. Durrieu, and J. Chovelon, Biosens. Bioelectron. 21, 273 (2005).
- [17] N. Jaffrezic-Renault and S.V. Dzyadevych, Sensors 8, 2569 (2008).
- [18] A. Senillou, N. Jaffrezic-Renault, C. Martelet, and F. Griffe, Mater. Sci. Eng 6, 59 (1998).
- [19] A. Gonzalez-Bellavista, J. Macanas, M. Munoz, and E. Fabregas, Anal. Chim. Acta. 577, 85 (2006).
- [20] W. Wroblewski, M. Chudy, A. Dybko, and Z. Brzozka, Anal. Chim. Acta. 401, 105 (1999).